

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgiria 22313-1450 www.uspoj.cov

09/683.919 03/01/2002 Larry Lawson Jones 0 7330 7590 06/24/2008 Greenberg Traurig, LLP (OnSpec/TPL) 2450 Colorado Avenue Suite 400E Santa Monica. CA 90404	076706-201701/US EXAM ZIA, S	
Greenberg Traurig, LLP (OnSpec/TPL) 2450 Colorado Avenue Suite 4000E		
2450 Colorado Avenue Suite 400E	ZIA, S	SYED
	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	2131	
	MAIL DATE 06/24/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/683 919 JONES ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit SYED ZIA -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 March 2008. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-3.10-14.21 and 23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3.10-14.21 and 23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner, Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 05/22/2008

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/CC)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This office action is in response to amendments and request for reconsideration filed on March 26, 2008. Original application contained Claims 1-21. Applicant previously amended Claims 1-5, 7, 9, 11-16, and 18, cancelled Claims 4-5, 9-10, 15-16, 18-20, and added new Claims 22-23. Applicant currently amended Claims 1, 3, 11, 13-14, and 23. The amendment filed have been entered and made of record. Therefore, presently pending claims are 1-3, 10-14, 21 and 23.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on March 26, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because of the following reasons:

Applicants argued regarding independent Claims 1, and 11 and stated that the cited prior Abbott and Burger fail to teach or suggest "encrypted log of unique identifiers of locations the apparatus and the individual have visited" and "restricting the individual from modifying the encrypted log of unique identifies" as claimed as claimed in currently amended above mentioned independent Claims.

This is not found persuasive. The system of Abbott teaches and describes a key to interface with a wide variety of computers and computer peripherals to supports the user

Art Unit: 2131

authentication which authenticates the identity of user and memory for storing financial and nonfinancial media for transaction information. Burger, on the other hand discloses computing
environment that describe methods of portable electronic authorization system (Fig.1-2) by
engaging transactions, where apparatus has an authenticator that authenticates an identity of a
user [Fig.1-2, and paragraph 0019 – 0020] thus providing the authentication of the (personnel)
key when tracking the Abbott's device in an external network [0114-0118]. Burger also
disclose that user choices about participation in specific promotional campaigns linked to the
user's buying behavior (i.e., the places individuals have visited) and may also be part of the
registration process. And the network server may send a message to the Pocket Vault, and direct
the storage of necessary unique encrypted information (i.e. encrypted individuals profile) on the
chip ID." [0014-0018, 0317].

As a result, the system of cited prior art(s) does implement and teaches a system and method that relates to providing a device for secure identification which also make use of presently available installed infrastructure.

Applicants <u>still</u> have failed to explicitly identify specific claim limitations, which would define a patentable distinction over prior arts.

Therefore, the examiner asserts that the system of cited prior arts does teach or suggest the subject matter broadly recited in independent Claims and in subsequent dependent Claims.

Accordingly, rejections for claims 1-3, 10-14, 21 and 23 are respectfully maintained.

Application/Control Number: 09/683,919 Page 4

Art Unit: 2131

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 10-14, 21 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abbott et al. (U. S. Patent 6,671,808), and further in view of Burger (U. S. Pub. 2005/0060586 A1) (hereafter Burger).

1. Regarding Claim 1 Abbott teach and describe an apparatus (Fig.2, and 4) comprising: a port; a storage medium coupled to a and accessed by controller, wherein, the storage medium is to store an encrypted unique identifier of the apparatus, encrypted identity information of an individual pre-associated with the apparatus and an encrypted log of unique identifier of locations the apparatus and the individual have visited, the controller coupled to the port to record in the encrypted log on the storage medium, a unique identifier corresponding to a locations the apparatus and the individual have visited; the controller configured to restrict the individual from modifying the encrypted unique identifier of the apparatus, encrypted identity information of the individual pre-selected with the apparatus, encrypted log of unique identifiers of locations the apparatus and the individual have visited as stored in the storage medium; and

Art Unit: 2131

the controller, configured to provide, in response to a request from an authorized requestor, one or more of the unique identifier of the apparatus, identity information of the individual pre-associated with the apparatus, and the lo~ of unique identifiers of locations the apparatus and the individual have visited (col.3 line 26 to col.4 line 7, col.4 line 50 to line 62, col.5 line 12 to line 15, col.5 line 55 to col.6 line 60, and col.7 line 38 to col.9 line 65).

Although the system disclosed by Abbot shows all the features of the claimed limitation, as well as secure identification of individuals (users) when using the unique USB enabled device but Abbot does not specifically discuss in detail unique identifier of the apparatus, encrypted identity information of an individual pre-associated with the apparatus.

In an analogous art, Burger, on the other hand discloses computing environment that describe methods of portable electronic authorization system (Fig.1-2) by engaging transactions involving financial and/or non-financial media and devices, where apparatus has an authenticator that authenticates an identity of a user [Fig.1-2, and paragraph 0019 – 0020, and 0114-0118].

Therefore, It would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time of invention to combine the teachings of Abbot and Burger, because Burger's system of portable electronic authorization with plurality of unique devices for providing plurality of services after authenticating the device and user by using embedded ID would enhance the trustworthiness of the user of portable device when tracking the device in a external network (co.7 line 9 to line 15).

Art Unit: 2131

2. Regarding Claim 11 Abbott teach and describe a system for allowing for secure identification of an individual when accessing information (Fig.2, and 4) comprising: a central hub; a plurality of touchpoints coupled to the central hub; and at least one device coupled to at least one of a plurality of touchpoints, the at least one device comprising a port; a storage medium coupled to and accessed by a controller, wherein the storage medium is configured to store an encrypted unique identifier of the apparatus, encrypted identity information of an individual pre-associated with the apparatus, and an encrypted log of unique identifiers of locations the apparatus and the individual have visited; the controller, coupled to the port, configured to record in the encrypted log on the storage medium, a unique identifier corresponding to a location the apparatus and the individual have visited; the controller configured to restrict the individual from modifying the encrypted unique identifier of the apparatus, encrypted identity information of the individual pre-associated with the apparatus, and the encrypted log of unique identifiers of locations the apparatus and the individual have visited, as stored in the storage medium; and the controller, configured to provide, in response to a request from an authorized requestor, one or more of the unique identifier of the apparatus, identity information of the individual preassociated with the apparatus, and the log of unique identifiers of locations the apparatus and the individual have visited (col.3 line 26 to col.4 line 7, col.4 line 50 to line 62, col.5 line 12 to line

Although the system disclosed by Abbot shows all the features of the claimed limitation, as well as secure identification of individuals (users) when using the unique USB enabled device

15, col.5 line 55 to col.6 line 60, and col.7 line 38 to col.9 line 65).

Art Unit: 2131

but Abbot does not specifically discuss in detail unique identifier of the apparatus, encrypted identity information of an individual pre-associated with the apparatus..

In an analogous art, Burger, on the other hand discloses computing environment that describe methods of portable electronic authorization system (Fig.1-2) by engaging transactions involving financial and/or non-financial media and devices, where apparatus has an authenticator that authenticates an identity of a user [Fig.1-2, and paragraph 0019 – 0020, and 0114-0118]].

Therefore, It would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time of invention to combine the teachings of Abbot and Burger, because Burger's system of portable electronic authorization with plurality of unique devices for providing plurality of services after authenticating the device and user by using embedded ID would enhance the trustworthiness of the user of portable device when tracking the device in a external network (co.7 line 9 to line 15).

 Claims 2-3, 10, 12-14, and 21, and 23 are rejected applied as above rejecting Claims 1, and 11. Furthermore, the system of Abbott and Burger teaches and describes a system wherein

As per Claim 2, the port comprises any one of a firewire port, USB port or an infiniband port (Abbot: col.3 line 26 to line 30, col.5 line 12 to line 14, Fig.1, Item 130, Fig.4 Item 402))

As per Claim 3, the storage medium comprises a memory (Abbot: Fig. 2A, col.4 line 50 to line 62).

As per Claim 10, the security information can be enhanced or modified by downloading data to the apparatus (Abbot: col.8 line 20 to line 33 and col.10 line 6 to line 11).

As per Claim 12, the port comprises any one of a firewire port, USB port or an infiniband port (Abbot; col.3 line 26 to line 30, col.5 line 12 to line 14, Fig.1, Item 130, Fig.4 Item 402).

Art Unit: 2131

As per Claim 13, at least one of the plurality of touchpoints comprises a personal computer (Abbot: Fig.1 Item 102).

As per Claim 14, the storage medium comprises a flash memory (Abbot: Fig. 2A, col.4 line 50 to line 62).

As per Claim 21, the security information within the at least one device can be enhanced or modified by downloading data to the at least one device (Abbot: col.8 line 20 to line 33, and col.10 line 6 to line 11).

As per Claim 23, the touchpoints comprises any one of airports, car rentals, or banks (Burger; [0125, 0135, and 0152]).

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 2131

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to SYED ZIA whose telephone number is (571)272-3798. The

examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

·

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SZ

SZ